

Midterm evaluation 2002 of DARCOF II projects
Please fill out the evaluation for each of the three criteria

Project number and title: _____

Evaluation criteria	Evaluation
<p>1. The quality of the research process (how the plans are met and adjusted, cooperation with other projects, educational aspects, etc.)</p>	
<p>2. The quantity and quality of different kinds of research products (such as scientific, technical and popular papers and books, reports, workshops, public meetings, field days, etc.)</p>	
<p>3. The reflexive objectivity of the project (the projects own critical reflection on plans, progress and results with respect to the 'workmanship' and relevance of the project and its parts, and with respect to other aspects such as research development)</p>	
<p>4. Important aspects not included / suggestions for adjustments (optional):</p>	

Midterm evaluation 2002 of DARCOF II projects
Please fill out the evaluation for each of the three criteria

Project number and title: _____

Evaluation criteria	Evaluation
<p>1. The quality of the research process (how the plans are met and adjusted, cooperation with other projects, educational aspects, etc.)</p>	
<p>2. The quantity and quality of different kinds of research products (such as scientific, technical and popular papers and books, reports, workshops, public meetings, field days, etc.)</p>	
<p>3. The reflexive objectivity of the project (the projects own critical reflection on plans, progress and results with respect to the 'workmanship' and relevance of the project and its parts, and with respect to other aspects such as research development)</p>	
<p>4. Important aspects not included / suggestions for adjustments (optional):</p>	

Midterm evaluation 2002 of DARCOF II projects
Please fill out the evaluation for each of the three criteria

Project number and title: _____

Evaluation criteria	Evaluation
1. The quality of the research process (how the plans are met and adjusted, cooperation with other projects, educational aspects, etc.)	
2. The quantity and quality of different kinds of research products (such as scientific, technical and popular papers and books, reports, workshops, public meetings, field days, etc.)	
3. The reflexive objectivity of the project (the projects own critical reflection on plans, progress and results with respect to the 'workmanship' and relevance of the project and its parts, and with respect to other aspects such as research development)	
4. Important aspects not included / suggestions for adjustments (optional):	

Midterm evaluation 2002 of DARCOF II projects
Please fill out the evaluation for each of the three criteria

Project number and title: _____

Evaluation criteria	Evaluation
<p>1. The quality of the research process (how the plans are met and adjusted, cooperation with other projects, educational aspects, etc.)</p>	
<p>2. The quantity and quality of different kinds of research products (such as scientific, technical and popular papers and books, reports, workshops, public meetings, field days, etc.)</p>	
<p>3. The reflexive objectivity of the project (the projects own critical reflection on plans, progress and results with respect to the 'workmanship' and relevance of the project and its parts, and with respect to other aspects such as research development)</p>	
<p>4. Important aspects not included / suggestions for adjustments (optional):</p>	

Midterm evaluation 2002 of DARCOF II projects
Please fill out the evaluation for each of the three criteria

Project number and title: _____

Evaluation criteria	Evaluation
<p>1. The quality of the research process (how the plans are met and adjusted, cooperation with other projects, educational aspects, etc.)</p>	
<p>2. The quantity and quality of different kinds of research products (such as scientific, technical and popular papers and books, reports, workshops, public meetings, field days, etc.)</p>	
<p>3. The reflexive objectivity of the project (the projects own critical reflection on plans, progress and results with respect to the 'workmanship' and relevance of the project and its parts, and with respect to other aspects such as research development)</p>	
<p>4. Important aspects not included / suggestions for adjustments (optional):</p>	

Midterm evaluation 2002 of DARCOF II projects
Please fill out the evaluation for each of the three criteria

Project number and title: _____

Evaluation criteria	Evaluation
<p>1. The quality of the research process (how the plans are met and adjusted, cooperation with other projects, educational aspects, etc.)</p>	
<p>2. The quantity and quality of different kinds of research products (such as scientific, technical and popular papers and books, reports, workshops, public meetings, field days, etc.)</p>	
<p>3. The reflexive objectivity of the project (the projects own critical reflection on plans, progress and results with respect to the 'workmanship' and relevance of the project and its parts, and with respect to other aspects such as research development)</p>	
<p>4. Important aspects not included / suggestions for adjustments (optional):</p>	